arXiv:1009.3194v2 [math.DG] 31 Jan 2012

SPECTRAL GEOMETRY OF RIEMANNIAN LEGENDRE FOLIATIONS

GABRIEL BĂDIŢOIU, STERE IANUŞ, AND ANNA MARIA PASTORE

Abstract. We obtain geometric characterizations of isospectral minimal Riemannian Legendre foliations on compact Sasakian manifolds of constant φ-sectional curvature.

1. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation on an m-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (M, g). We denote by L and $Q = L^{\perp}$ the tangent and normal bundles of $\mathcal{F}$, and that gives the decomposition of the tangent bundle $TM = L \oplus L^{\perp}$. Let Δ_g be the Laplace operator associated to g and let ∇ be the Bott connection of the normal bundle $Q = TM/L$ (see [16, pp. 20-21]). The Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, defined by $\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}s = (d^*_{\nabla}d_{\nabla} - \rho_{\nabla})s$ for any s section of the normal bundle, is a second order elliptic operator (see [15]). The compactness of M implies that the spectra of Δ_g and $\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}$ are discrete. Using Gilkey's theory ([8, 14]), one can write their associated asymptotic expansions:

$$Tr\, e^{-t\Delta_g} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_i} \quad \underset{t \searrow 0}{\widetilde{\ \ }} \quad (4\pi t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^s a_s(\Delta_g)$$

$$Tr\, e^{-t\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\mu_i} \quad \underset{t \searrow 0}{\widetilde{\ \ }} \quad (4\pi t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^s b_s(\mathcal{J}_{\nabla})$$

where

$$a_s(\Delta_g) = \int_M a_s(x, \Delta_g) dv_g$$

$$b_s(\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}) = \int_M b_s(X, \mathcal{J}_{\nabla}) dv_g$$

are invariants of Δ_g and $\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}$ depending only on their corresponding discrete spectra

$$Spec(M, g) = \{0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_i \leq \ldots \uparrow \infty\}$$

$$Spec(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{J}_{\nabla}) = \{\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots \leq \mu_i \leq \ldots \uparrow \infty\}$$

We restrict our attention to the first coefficients a_s and b_s for $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ which encodes certain properties of the spectral geometry of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. We recall the following theorem from [8, 11].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C12, 53C25, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian Legendre foliation; isospectral foliations; Sasakian metric.

Stere Ianuş passed away on April 8th, 2010.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation of codimension $q \geq 2$ on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\Delta_g) &= a_0 = Vol_g(M) \\ a_1(\Delta_g) &= a_1 = \tfrac{1}{6}\int_M \tau dv_g \\ a_2(\Delta_g) &= a_2 = \tfrac{1}{360}\int_M (2\|R\|^2 - 2\|\rho\|^2 + 5\tau^2) dv_g \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) &= b_0 = qVol_g(M) \\ b_1(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) &= b_1 = qa_1 + \int_M \tau_\nabla dv_g \\ b_2(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) &= b_2 = qa_2 + \tfrac{1}{12}\int_M (2\tau\tau_\nabla + 6\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 - \|R_\nabla\|^2) dv_g\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where R, ρ are the Riemann and the Ricci tensor fields, τ is the scalar curvature of g, and $R_\nabla, \rho_\nabla, \tau_\nabla$ are those associated to the Bott connection ∇ of the transverse bundle $Q = TM/L$.

The following theorem, due to Nishikawa, Tondeur and Vanhecke [11], is fundamental for the spectral geometry of a Riemannian foliation.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) and (M_0, g_0) be two compact Riemannian manifolds endowed with Riemannian foliations $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ of codimensions q and q_0, respectively. If $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ are isospectral, that is*

$$Spec(M, g) = Spec(M_0, g_0), \qquad Spec(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{J}_\nabla) = Spec(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{J}_{\nabla_0}),$$

then the following hold:

i) $\dim M = \dim M_0\,, \quad \mathrm{Vol}(M) = \mathrm{Vol}(M_0)\,, \quad q = q_0,$
ii) $\int_M \tau dv_g = \int_{M_0} \tau_0 dv_{g_0}\,, \quad \int_M \tau_\nabla dv_g = \int_{M_0} \tau_{\nabla_0} dv_{g_0},$
iii) $\int_M (2\|R\|^2 - 2\|\rho\|^2 + 5\tau^2) dv_g = \int_{M_0} (2\|R_0\|^2 - 2\|\rho_0\|^2 + 5\tau_0^2) dv_{g_0},$
iv) $\int_M (2\tau\tau_\nabla + 6\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 - \|R_\nabla\|^2) dv_g = \int_{M_0} (2\tau_0\tau_{\nabla_0} + 6\|\rho_{\nabla_0}\|^2 - \|R_{\nabla_0}\|^2) dv_{g_0}.$

We recall that in the one-codimension case the isospectral Riemannian foliations are completely determined by the spectrum of Δ_g and for this reason we shall assume throughout the paper that the codimension $q \geq 2$.

2. Spectral Invariants of a Riemannian Legendre foliation

In this section we compute the spectral invariants a_s, b_s, for $s \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ of a Riemannian Legendre foliation with minimal leaves on a Sasakian manifold M of constant φ-sectional curvature and then we obtain certain geometric properties of two such isospectral Riemannian foliations. First, we recall the notion of Riemannian Legendre foliation.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional compact manifold endowed with a Sasakian structure (φ, ξ, η, g) and let $\mathcal{D} = Ker\,\eta = Im\,\varphi$ be the $2n$-dimensional distribution on M orthogonal to the 1-dimensional distribution generated by ξ. A Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{L}$ on M is said to be a **Riemannian Legendre foliation** if the leaves are n-dimensional and $L_x \subset \mathcal{D}_x$, for each $x \in M$. Note that $\varphi(L) \subset L^\perp$.

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a Sasakian manifold of constant φ-sectional curvature c and of dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$. We recall that φ is an endomorphism of tangent bundle, ξ is a vector field on M, η is the 1-form dual to ξ with respect to g, satisfying:

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \varphi(\eta(X)) = 0,$$

$$g(X,Y) = g(\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$\nabla^M_X \xi = -\varphi(X), \qquad (\nabla^M_X \varphi)(Y) = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

for any vector fields X, Y. By [3], its curvature tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)Z = \; & \tfrac{c+3}{4}\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\} \\ & + \tfrac{c-1}{4}\{g(Z,\varphi Y)\varphi X - g(Z,\varphi X)\varphi Y \\ & + 2g(X,\varphi Y)\varphi Z - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ & - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and its Ricci tensor and scalar curvature satisfy

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2} g(X,Y) - \frac{(n+1)(c-1)}{2}\eta(X)\eta(Y)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tau = \frac{n}{2}(2n+1)(c+3) + \frac{n}{2}(c-1)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Riemannian Legendre foliation on M and $(e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi)$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of TM adapted to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$, which means that $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is a local basis of L and $(\varphi e_1, \ldots \varphi e_n)$ is a basis of $\varphi(L)$. The curvature tensor writes as

$$\begin{aligned} & R(e_i, \xi, e_k, \xi) = R(\varphi e_i, \xi, \varphi e_k, \xi) = \delta_{ik}, \\ & R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_m) = R(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_j, \varphi e_k, \varphi e_m) = \tfrac{c+3}{4}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm} - \delta_{im}\delta_{jk}), \\ & R(e_i, e_j, \varphi e_k, \varphi e_m) = R(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_j, e_k, e_m) = \tfrac{c-1}{4}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm} - \delta_{im}\delta_{jk}), \\ & R(e_i, \varphi e_j, e_k, \varphi e_m) = \tfrac{c+3}{4}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm} + \tfrac{c-1}{4}\delta_{im}\delta_{jk} + \tfrac{c-1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{km}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

the other expressions being equal to zero. The Ricci tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(e_i, \xi) = \rho(\varphi e_i, \xi) = \rho(e_i, \varphi e_j) = 0, \\ & \rho(\xi, \xi) = 2n, \\ & \rho(e_i, e_j) = \rho(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_j) = \tfrac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2}\delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of R, defined to be

$$\|R\|^2 = \sum_{a,b,c,d} g(R(e_a, e_b)e_c, e_d) g(R(e_a, e_b)e_c, e_d), \tag{2.6}$$

in any orthonormal basis, writes, in the fixed adapted basis, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R\|^2 &= 2[(\tfrac{c+3}{4})^2+(\tfrac{c-1}{4})^2]\textstyle\sum_{i,j,k,m}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm}-\delta_{im}\delta_{jk})^2+8\sum_{ij}\delta_{ij}\\
&\quad +4\textstyle\sum_{i,j,k,m}(\tfrac{c+3}{4}\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm}+\tfrac{c-1}{4}\delta_{im}\delta_{jk}+\tfrac{c-1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{km})^2\\
&= [\tfrac{(c+3)^2}{8}+\tfrac{(c-1)^2}{8}](2n^2-2n)+8n+4\{\tfrac{(c+3)^2}{16}n^2+\tfrac{(c-1)^2}{16}n^2\\
&\quad +\tfrac{(c-1)^2}{4}n^2+2\tfrac{c+3}{4}(\tfrac{c-1}{4}n+\tfrac{c-1}{2}n)+\tfrac{(c-1)^2}{4}n\}\\
&= \tfrac{[(c-1)^2+(c+3)^2]n(n-1)}{4}+\tfrac{(c+3)^2}{4}n^2+\tfrac{(c-1)^2}{4}(5n^2+4n)+\tfrac{3(c+3)(c-1)n}{2}+8n\\
&= \tfrac{(c+3)^2n(2n-1)}{4}+\tfrac{(c-1)^2n(6n+3)}{4}+\tfrac{3(c+3)(c-1)n}{2}+8n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, for the norm of the Ricci tensor, computing

$$\|\rho\|^2=\sum_{a,b}\rho(e_a,e_b)\rho(e_a,e_b),$$

in the adapted basis, one has

$$\|\rho\|^2=2\left(\frac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2}\right)^2n+4n^2\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Summarizing the above computations, by Theorem 1.1 we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Riemannian Legendre foliation on a compact $(2n+1)$-dimensional Sasakian manifold of constant φ-sectional curvature c, then the spectral invariants satisfy:*

$$a_0(\Delta_g) = a_0 = Vol_g(M) \tag{2.9}$$

$$a_1(\Delta_g) = a_1 = \frac{n((2n+1)(c+3)+c-1)}{12}Vol_g(M) \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(\Delta_g) &= a_2 = \frac{n}{1440}\Big(64-32n+(c+3)^2(-2+9n+16n^2+20n^3)\\ &\quad +(c+3)(c-1)(12+2n+20n^2)+(c-1)^2(2+17n)\Big)Vol_g(M)\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$b_0(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) = b_0 = (n+1)Vol_g(M) \tag{2.12}$$

$$b_1(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) = b_1 = (n+1)a_1+\int_M \tau_\nabla dv_g \tag{2.13}$$

$$b_2(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) = b_2 = (n+1)a_2+\frac{1}{12}\int_M(2\tau\tau_\nabla+6\|\rho_\nabla\|^2-\|R_\nabla\|^2)dv_g\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Since the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ is assumed to be Riemannian, there exists, locally, a Riemannian submersion whose vertical and horizontal distributions are L and $L^\perp=\varphi(L)\oplus[\xi]$, respectively. Let A and T be the O'Neill tensors (see [7], [16, p. 49]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Riemannian Legendre minimal foliation on a Sasakian manifold with constant φ-sectional curvature c. Then*

a) $\tau_\nabla=3\|A\|^2+\frac{n}{4}((c+3)(n-1)+8)$;
b) $\|A\|^2=\|T\|^2+n(c+1)$.

Proof. Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\varphi(L) \oplus [\xi])$. From the theory of Riemannian submersions [7] we have

$$K(X,Y) = K_\nabla(X,Y) - 3\|A_X Y\|^2, \tag{2.15}$$

where ∇ is the connection associated to $TM/L \simeq \varphi(L) \oplus [\xi]$, which in the case of a Riemannian foliation coincides with the connection induced by the Levi-Civita connection of M on the horizontal distribution.

Fixing an adapted local orthonormal basis of the foliation, by [15], we see the transverse scalar curvature can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\nabla &= \sum_{i\neq j=1}^{n} K(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_j) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(\varphi e_i, \xi) + 3\|A\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i\neq j=1}^{n} R(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_j, \varphi e_i, \varphi e_j) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} R(\varphi e_i, \xi, \varphi e_i, \xi) + 3\|A\|^2 \\ &= \tfrac{c+3}{4} n(n-1) + 2n + 3\|A\|^2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

which implies a).

Denoting by τ^{mixed} the mixed scalar curvature, defined by

$$\tau^{mixed} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} R(e_i, f_j, e_i, f_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R(e_i, \xi, e_i, \xi)\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where $f_{n+i} = \varphi e_i$, we obtain:

$$\tau^{mixed} = \sum_{i,j} c\delta_{ij} + n = (c+1)n\,. \tag{2.18}$$

We denote by H the mean curvature of the leaves. We recall Ranjan's formula ([13])

$$\tau^{mixed} = div(H) + \|H\|^2 + \|A\|^2 - \|T\|^2\,. \tag{2.19}$$

Specializing to the case $H = 0$, the relations (2.19) and (2.18) simply imply b). ☐

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ be two Riemannian Legendre minimal foliations on compact Sasakian manifolds $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ and $(M_0, \varphi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$. If (M, g) and (M, g_0) have constant φ, and φ_0-sectional curvature c and c_0, and if $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ are isospectral, then*

a) $\dim M = \dim M_0$, $Vol(M) = Vol(M_0)$, $c = c_0$,
b) $\int_M \|A\|^2 dv_g = \int_{M_0} \|A_0\|^2 dv_{g_0}$, *and*
c) $\int_M \|T\|^2 dv_g = \int_{M_0} \|T_0\|^2 dv_{g_0}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2i) and ii), we see that $n = n_0$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(g) = \mathrm{Vol}(g_0)$ and

$$\int_M \tau\, dv_g = \int_{M_0} \tau_0\, dv_{g_0}, \text{ and } \int_M \tau_\nabla dv_g = \int_{M_0} \tau_{0\,\nabla_0} dv_{g_0}.$$

Therefore by (2.3), we get $c = c_0$, which by Proposition 2.3a) simply implies a). Now, by Proposition 2.3b) we get b) . ☐

Corollary 2.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, the following statements hold:*

(a) *If $\varphi(L) \oplus [\xi]$ is integrable, then so is $\varphi(L_0) \oplus [\xi]$.*

(b) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is totally geodesic, then so is $\mathcal{L}_0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that $A = 0 \Rightarrow A_0 = 0$ and that $T = 0 \Rightarrow T_0 = 0$. ☐

3. The invariants b_1 and b_2

We shall explicitly compute b_1, b_2 of Proposition 2.2. By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.3 we get

$$b_1(\mathcal{J}_\nabla) = \frac{n}{12}[(c+3)(2n^2+6n-2)+3(n+1)(c-1)+2n]+3\int_M \|A\|^2 dv_g\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, we proceed to the computation of each term involved in (2.14) of b_2. Let (X_i, U_j) be an orthonormal basis adapted to the foliation with X_i horizontal and U_j vertical. We introduce the notations from [2]

$$(A_X, A_Y) = \sum_i g(A_X X_i, A_Y X_i) = \sum_j g(A_X U_j, A_Y U_j)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(TX, TY) = \sum_j g(T_{U_j}X, T_{U_J}Y). \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is assumed to be minimal, by Proposition 9.36 in [2], we have

$$\rho_\nabla(X,Y) = \rho(X,Y) + 2(A_X, A_Y) + (TX, TY), \tag{3.4}$$

Setting $f_i = \varphi e_i$, for $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, and $f_{n+1} = \xi$, we have

$$\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \rho_\nabla(f_i, f_j)^2 + \rho_\nabla(\xi,\xi)^2 \tag{3.5}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_\nabla\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \rho(f_i,f_j)^2 + 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \rho(f_i,f_j)[2(A_{f_i}, A_{f_j}) + (Tf_i, Tf_j)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} [2(A_{f_i}, A_{f_j}) + (Tf_i, Tf_j)]^2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We easily see that

$$T_{e_i}\xi = 0, \quad T_{e_i}\varphi e_j = \varphi(T_{e_i}e_j), \quad A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j = \varphi(A_{\varphi e_i}e_j), \quad A_\xi \varphi e_i = e_i,$$

$$2(A_\xi, A_\xi) + (T\xi, T\xi) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_\xi\varphi e_i, A_\xi \varphi e_i) = 2n.$$

We also know from (2.5) that

$$\rho(\xi,\xi) = 2n$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \rho(f_i,f_j)^2 = \left[\frac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2}\right]^2 n.$$

Setting

$$l = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \rho(f_i,f_j)^2,$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 &= l + 2\textstyle\sum_{i,j}\frac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2}\delta_{ij}\left[2(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})+(Tf_i,Tf_j)\right]\\ &\quad +2(2n)^2+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1}\left[2(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})+(Tf_i,Tf_j)\right]^2\\ &= (l+8n^2)+(n(c+3)+c-1)\left[2\|A\|^2+\|T\|^2\right]\\ &\quad +\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1}\left[2(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})+(Tf_i,Tf_j)\right]^2 .\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Riemannian foliation on (M,g), then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R(X,e_i,Y,e_i)=\frac{1}{2}(g(\nabla^M_Y H,X)+g(\nabla^M_X H,Y))+(A_X,A_Y)-(TX,TY), \tag{3.8}$$

where ∇^M is the Levi-Civita connection of (M,g), H is the mean curvature of the leaves, $(e_1,\dots,e_n)$ is a local basis of the vertical distribution L, and X,Y are horizontal.

Proof. From the theory of Riemannian submersions, for any horizontal vectors X,Y and vertical vector U, we have

$$R(X,U,Y,U) \;=\; g((\nabla^M_X T)_U U,Y)-g(T_U X,T_U Y)+g((\nabla^M_U A)_X Y,U)+g(A_X U,A_Y U).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n R(X,e_i,Y,e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n(g((\nabla^M_X T)_{e_i}e_i,Y)-g(T_{e_i}X,T_{e_i}Y)\\ &\quad +g((\nabla^M_{e_i}A)_X Y,e_i)+g(A_X e_i,A_Y e_i)).\end{aligned}$$

The covariant derivative of T satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i g((\nabla^M_X T)_{e_i}e_i,Y) &= g(\nabla^M_X H,Y)-\sum_i g(T_{v(\nabla^M_X e_i)}e_i,Y)-\sum_i g(T_{e_i}v(\nabla^M_X e_i),Y)\\ &= g(\nabla^M_X H,Y)-2\sum_i g(T_{e_i}v(\nabla^M_X e_i),Y)\,,\end{aligned}$$

since $T_U W = T_W U$ and $\sum_i T_{e_i}e_i = H$.
Setting

$$v(\nabla^M_X e_i)=\sum_j h_{ij}e_j$$

we note that

$$h_{ij}=g(v(\nabla^M_X e_i),e_j)=X(g(e_i,e_j))-g(e_i,\nabla^M_X e_j)=-g(e_i,v(\nabla^M_X e_j))=-h_{ji};$$

$$\sum_{i,j} g(T_{e_i}h_{ij}e_j,Y)=\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}g(T_{e_i}e_j,Y)=0;$$

$$\sum_i g((\nabla^M_{e_i}A)_X Y,e_i)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g(\nabla^M_Y H,X)-g(\nabla^M_X H,Y)\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\textstyle\sum_i R(X,e_i,Y,e_i) &= g(\nabla^M_X H,Y)-(TX,TY)+(A_X,A_Y)\\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}(g(\nabla^M_Y H,X)-g(\nabla^M_X H,Y)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and (3.8) follows. □

From (3.9), one can obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Riemannian foliation with minimal leaves then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} R(f_i,e_k,f_j,e_k)=(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})-(Tf_i,Tf_j). \tag{3.10}$$

Now, we consider a Riemannian Legendre foliation with minimal leaves on a Sasakian manifold M of constant φ-sectional curvature c and we fix a local orthonormal basis (e_i,f_i,f_{n+1}) adapted to the foliation, that is $f_{n+1}=\xi$ and $f_i=\varphi e_i$ for any $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$ is a local basis of a leaf L.

Setting

$$S(f_i,f_j)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}R(f_i,e_k,f_j,e_k)$$

and using relations (2.4), we obtain that

$$S(\varphi e_i,\xi)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}R(\varphi e_i,e_k,\xi,e_k)=0,$$

$$S(\xi,\xi)=n,\quad S(f_i,f_j)=S(\varphi e_i,\varphi e_j)=d\delta_{ij},$$

where $d=\frac{c+3}{4}n+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}$.

By Proposition 2.3b) and equations (3.7) and (3.10), it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 &= (l+8n^2)+[n(c+3)+c-1][3\|A\|^2-c(n+1)]\\ &\quad+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1}[3(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})-S(f_i,f_j)]^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Denoting by E the last sum of the previous relation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}E &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[3(A_{\varphi e_i},A_{\varphi e_i})-d]^2+[2(A_\xi,A_\xi)+(T\xi,T\xi)]^2+2\sum_{i<j}^{n+1}[3(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})]^2\\ &= 9\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_{\varphi e_i},A_{\varphi e_i})^2+d^2n-6d\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_{\varphi e_i},A_{\varphi e_i})+4n^2+18\sum_{i<j}^{n+1}(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Summarizing, we conclude:

Proposition 3.3. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition 2.2, the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 &= 9\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_{\varphi e_i},A_{\varphi e_i})^2+18\sum_{i<j}^{n+1}(A_{f_i},A_{f_j})^2\\ &+nd(d+6)-6d\|A\|^2+16n^2\\ &+\left(n(c+3)+c-1\right)\big(3\|A\|^2-c(n+1)\big)\\ &+n\left(\frac{n(c+3)+c-1}{2}\right)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

where $d=\frac{c+3}{4}n+\frac{3(c-1)}{4}$.

To compute $\|R_\nabla\|^2$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}R_\nabla(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l) &= R(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l)+2g(A_{f_i}f_j,A_{f_k}f_l)\\ &\quad -g(A_{f_j}f_k,A_{f_i}f_l)-g(A_{f_k}f_i,A_{f_j}f_l)\,,\end{aligned}$$

we consider the following tensor of type $(0,4)$ associated to the horizontal distribution

$$V(X,Y,Z,Z')=2g(A_XY,A_ZZ')-g(A_YZ,A_XZ')-g(A_ZX,A_YZ')$$

and we set

$$\|V\|^2=\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1}V(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l)^2.$$

We can write:

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_\nabla\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1}(R_\nabla(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l))^2\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1}(R(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l))^2+2\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1}R(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l)\{2g(A_{f_i}f_j,A_{f_k}f_l)\\ &\quad -g(A_{f_j}f_k,A_{f_i}f_l)-g(A_{f_k}f_i,A_{f_j}f_l)\}+\|V\|^2\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}l' &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1}R(f_i,f_j,f_k,f_l)^2\\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n}R(\varphi e_i,\varphi e_j,\varphi e_k,\varphi e_l)^2+4\sum_{i,k=1}^{n}R(\varphi e_i,\xi,\varphi e_k,\xi)^2\\ &= (\frac{c+3}{4})^2\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n}(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il}-\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})^2+4\sum_{i,k}\delta_{ik}^2\\ &= \frac{(c+3)^2}{16}[2n^2-2\sum_{i,j}\delta_{ij}]+4n=\frac{(c+3)^2(n-1)n}{8}+4n\,,\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_\nabla\|^2 &= l' + 2\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} \frac{c+3}{4}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})[2g(A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j, A_{\varphi e_k}\varphi e_l) \\
&\quad - g(A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_k, A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_l) - g(A_{\varphi e_k}\varphi e_i, A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_l)] \\
&\quad + 8\sum_{i,k} R(\varphi e_i, \xi, \varphi e_k, \xi)[2g(A_{\varphi e_i}\xi, A_{\varphi e_k}\xi) - g(A_\xi\varphi e_k, A_\xi\varphi e_i)] \\
&= l' + \|V\|^2 + 2\frac{c+3}{4}\sum_{i,j}[2g(A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j, A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j) \\
&\quad - g(A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_i, A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j) - g(A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_i, A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_j)] \\
&\quad - 2\frac{c+3}{4}\sum_{i,j}[2g(A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_j, A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_i) \\
&\quad - g(A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_j, A_{\varphi e_i}\varphi e_i) - g(A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_i, A_{\varphi e_j}\varphi e_i)] + 24n \\
&= l' + 24n - 3n(c+3) + 3(c+3)\|A\|^2 + \|V\|^2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|R_\nabla\|^2 = \frac{(c+3)^2(n-1)n}{8} - 3n(c+3) + 28n + 3(c+3)\|A\|^2 + \|V\|^2\,. \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\sum_j V(f_i, f_j, f_k, f_j) &= \textstyle\sum_j [2g(A_{f_i}f_j, A_{f_k}f_j) - g(A_{f_j}f_k, A_{f_i}f_j) - g(A_{f_k}f_i, A_{f_j}f_j)] \\
&= 3\textstyle\sum_j g(A_{f_i}f_j, A_{f_k}f_j) = 3(A_{f_i}, A_{f_k})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(C_{24}V)(f_i, f_k) = 3(A_{f_i}, A_{f_k})\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where C_{24} denotes the contraction of the tensor with respect to the indices 2 and 4. The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the $(0,2)$ tensor $C_{24}V$ along the horizontal distribution satisfies

$$\|C_{24}V\|^2 = 9\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_{\varphi e_i}, A_{\varphi e_i})^2 + 18\sum_{i<j}^{n+1}(A_{f_i}, A_{f_j})^2 + 9n^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Summarizing, by Proposition 2.2, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \qquad b_2 &= (n+1)a_2 + \frac{1}{12}\int_M 2\tau\tau_\nabla + 6\|\rho_\nabla\|^2 - \|R_\nabla\|^2 dv_g \\
&= (n+1)a_2 + \frac{1}{12}\int_M 6\|(C_{24}V)\|^2 - \|V\|^2 dv_g \\
&\quad + \frac{((c+3)(-3+12n+6n^2)+(c-1)(-9+3n))}{12}\int_M \|A\|^2 dv_g \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathrm{Vol_g(M)}}{12}\Big(42n^2 - 28n + (c+3)n(3+11n+4n^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8}(c+3)^2 n(-11-15n+13n^2+4n^3) \\
&\quad + (c-1)n(27+2n) + \frac{1}{8}(c-1)^2(-36+3n) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(c+3)(c-1)(-6-24n+2n^2+n^3)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.18) and Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 2.4, we now get our main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ *and* $(M_0^{2n_0+1}, \varphi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$ *be compact isospectral Sasakian manifolds with constant* φ*-sectional curvature* c *and constant* φ_0*-sectional curvature* c_0 *respectively. If* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_0$ *are Riemannian minimal Legendre foliations on* M *and* M_0 *such that* $Spec(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}_\nabla) = Spec(\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{J}_{\nabla_0})$*, then*

1) $\dim M = \dim M_0\,, \quad Vol(M) = Vol(M_0)\,, \quad c = c_0,$
2) $\int_M \|A\|^2 dv_g = \int_{M_0} \|A_0\|^2 dv_{g_0}\,, \quad \int_M \|T\|^2 dv_g = \int_{M_0} \|T_0\|^2 dv_{g_0},$
3) $\int_M [6\|C_{24}V\|^2 - \|V\|^2] dv_g = \int_{M_0} [6\|C_{24}V_0\|^2 - \|V_0\|^2] dv_{g_0}.$

4. Concluding remarks

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Riemannian Legendre foliation with totally geodesic leaves on (M, g) and assume that M has the constant curvature $c = 1$ and that $2n+1 = \dim M$. In this particular case, we would like to point that the condition 3) of Theorem 3.4 is implied by 1). Indeed, for any Riemannian totally geodesic foliation $\mathcal{L}$ on a constant curvature space M with $\dim Q = \dim L + 1$, one can see that

$$g(A_Y W, A_Y W) = g(Y,Y)g(W,W), \text{ for any } W \in L \text{ and for any } Y \in Q,$$

$A_X : L \to Q^{\perp X} = \{Y \in Q \,|\, g(Y, X) = 0\}$ is a bijection for any unit vector X, and R_∇ has the constant curvature 4 (see the argument of [1, Prop. 4.6]). Thus, we simply have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A_{\varphi e_i}, A_{\varphi e_i}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} g(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) g(e_k, e_k) = n, \\
(A_{f_i}, A_{f_j}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} g(f_i, f_j) g(e_k, e_k) = 0, \quad \text{for any } i < j,
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, by (3.17), $\|C_{24}V\|^2 = 18n^2$. We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|V\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1} V(f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l)^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1} (R_\nabla(f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l) - R(f_i, f_j, f_k, f_l))^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n+1} [(4-1)(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})]^2 = 18n^2 + 18n.\end{aligned}$$

This concludes that

$$\int_M [6\|C_{24}V\|^2 - \|V\|^2] dv_g = (90n^2 - 18n) Vol(M). \tag{4.1}$$

Example 1. Let $(S^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be the standard contact metric structure on S^3, which we now recall. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{x_1 + ix_2 + jx_3 + kx_4 \mid x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the algebra of quaternion numbers, where $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = -ji = k$. The set of unit quaternions is identified with S^3. Let (I, J, K) be the quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{H}$ given for $I, J, K : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$, by $I(h) = ih$, $J(h) = jh$, $K(h) = kh$ for any $h \in \mathbb{H}$. Let N be the unit outer normal vector field on S^3 and let g be the Riemann metric with constant curvature $c = 1$. We set $\xi = -IN$, η the dual form of ξ; $\varphi(Z)$ the projection of $I(Z)$ onto tangent space of S^3, for any vector field Z of S^3. Note that (φ, ξ, η, g) is the standard contact metric on S^3 and the its φ-sectional curvature is $c = 1$.

Let (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) be the Cartesian coordinate system on $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$. It is easy to see that

$$\xi = x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}.$$

Setting $W = -JN$ and $Y = -KN$, we have

$$W = x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4};$$

$$Y = x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}.$$

One can easily compute the Lie brackets between these vectors: $[W, \xi] = -2Y$, $[Y, \xi] = 2W$, $[W, Y] = 2\xi$ (see [10]). The distributions $L = \text{span}\{W\}$ and $L' = \text{span}\{Y\}$ define two non-degenerate Legendre foliations (see [10, Example 7.1]). Since $[W, Y] = 2\xi$ and $\varphi(W) = Y$, by [10, Lemma 6.6], L and L' are Riemannian Legendre foliations on the Sasakian space form S^3 and both of them are totally geodesic. By theorem 3.4, any Riemannian minimal Legendre foliations on a compact Sasakian space form M_0 with φ_0-sectional curvature c_0, isospectral to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ (defined above) on the standard Sasakian space form $(S^3, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$ is totally geodesic, $c_0 = 1$, $\dim M_0 = 3$, and

$$\int_M [6\|C_{24}V\|^2 - \|V\|^2] dv_g = 72\text{Vol}(M_0) = 72\text{Vol}(M),$$

$$\int_M \|A\|^2 dv_g = 2Vol(M).$$

It is well known that a typical example of a Sasakian space form is a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation of the standard contact metric structure of an odd-dimensional sphere S^{2n+1}, which we now recall (see [4, Example 7.4.1]). For a contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g), one defines the $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ by

$$\bar{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{a}\xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = a\eta, \quad \bar{g} = ag + a(a-1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where a is a positive constant (see [4, p. 114]). By [4, Theorem 7.15], a compact simply connected Sasakian space form with φ-sectional curvature $c > -3$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation of the standard contact metric structure on S^{2n+1} and $c = \frac{4}{a} - 3$ (for some $a > 0$). Since $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\eta} = \operatorname{Ker} \eta$, the problem of finding Riemannian Legendre foliations on such a compact Sasakian space form (with $c > -3$) reduces to the one on the standard sphere S^{2n+1} (i.e. c=1).

Case $n = 1$. One can apply a $\mathcal{D}$-homothetic deformation to Example 1 to obtain an example for any $c > -3$.

Case $n = 2$. From [9], there are no Riemannian foliations with two-dimensional leaves on a standard sphere, which in particular means that there are no Riemannian Legendre foliations on S^5.

Case $n = 3$. By [9, Theorem 5.3], we get, in particular, that any Riemannian foliation with 3-dimensional leaves on S^7 is given uniquely (up to equivalence) by a direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of $SU(2)$, namely $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_1$, or by ρ_3, where ρ_k is the action of $SU(2)$ on the set of complex homogeneous polynomials in two variables of degree k.

Note that $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_1$ corresponds to the Hopf fibration $S^7 \to S^4$ (see [9]), which is not a Legendre foliation. In fact, no leaf of $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_1$ is Legendrian, simply because L, the tangent distribution of the leaves, is generated by $-\xi = IN$, JN, KN, where (I, J, K) is the standard quaternionic structure on $\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R}^8$ and N is the unit outer vector field to S^7 (see [6, p. 265]).

In [12, p. 365], Ohnita constructed a unique minimal Legendrian orbit on S^7 under the action of ρ_3, which means that only one leaf of the Riemannian foliation given by ρ_3 on S^7 is both minimal and Legendrian. This concludes that ρ_3 does not provide a Riemannian Legendre foliation with minimal leaves.

Finally, another typical example of a Riemannian Legendre foliation with totally geodesic leaves is given by the tangent sphere bundle $\pi : T_1P \to P$ of a Riemannian manifold (P, h). Assume that T_1P is endowed with the standard contact metric structure.

If $\dim P > 2$, then T_1P is never a Sasakian space form (see [5]). Note that if P has constant curvature, then T_1P admits a non-Sasakian contact metric structure of constant φ-sectional curvature c^2 if and only if $c = 2 \pm \sqrt{5}$ (see [4, Theorem 9.9]).

If $\dim P = 2$ and if T_1P is a Sasakian space form, then P has constant curvature $c = 1$ (see [4, Theorem 9.3]). Note that $T_1S^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}P^3$ (see [4, p. 142]) and the Riemannian Legendre foliation on the universal cover of T_1S^2 is equivalent to Example 1.

Acknowledgments. Gabriel Bădiţoiu would like to thank Professor Stefano Marchiafava for hospitality, support and useful discussions on this topic. The first author was supported by grant COFIN PRIN 2007 “Geometria Riemanniana e Strutture Differenziabili” and by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0362. The second author was supported by grant CNCSIS 34699/2006 in Romania.

References

[1] G. Bădiţoiu, *Classification of Pseudo-Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres from pseudo-hyperbolic spaces*, preprint arXiv.org:1001.4490v2.

[2] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds.* Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3), vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[3] D.E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry.* Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[4] D.E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds.* Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.

[5] S. L. Druţă-Romaniuc and V. Oproiu, *The Holomorphic φ-Sectional Curvature of Tangent Sphere Bundles with Sasakian Structures.* An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi, Ser. Nouă, Mat. **57**(2011), Supplement, 75–86. DOI: 10.2478/v10157-011-0004-5.

[6] R.H. Escobales, Jr., *Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers.* J. Differential Geom. **10** (1975), 253–276. MR0370423 (51 #6650).

[7] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus and A.M. Pastore, *Riemannian submersions and related topics.* World Scientific, Singapore, 2004.

[8] P.B. Gilkey, *Invariance theory, the heat equation and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.* Publish or Perish, Wilmington, Delaware 1984.

[9] D. Gromoll and K. Grove, *The low-dimensional metric foliations of Euclidean spheres.* J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 1, 143–156. MR950559 (89g:53052)

[10] N. Jayne, *Legendre foliations on Contact Metric Manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, Massey University, 1992

[11] S. Nishikawa, Ph. Tondeur and L. Vanhecke, *Spectral Geometry for Riemannian foliations*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **10**, No.3, (1992), 291–304.

[12] Y. Ohnita, *Stability and rigidity of special Lagrangian cones over certain minimal Legendrian orbits.* Osaka J. Math. **44** (2007), 305-334.

[13] A. Ranjan, *Structural equations and an integral formula for foliated manifolds.* Geom. Dedicata, **20**, (1986), 85–91.

[14] S. Rosenberg, *The Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.

[15] Ph. Tondeur, *Foliations on Riemannian manifolds.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[16] Ph. Tondeur, *Geometry of foliations.* Monographs in Mathematics 90, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.

[17] H. Urakawa, *Spectral geometry of the second variation operator of harmonic maps*, Illinois J. Math., **33** (1989), 250–267.

G. Bădiţoiu, "Simion Stoilow" Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, 014700 Bucharest, Romania `Gabriel.Baditoiu@imar.ro`

S. Ianuş, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Romania

A.M. Pastore, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bari, Italia `pastore@dm.uniba.it`